

ecbi



Title: Transparency


Authors: Tshewang Dorji (Bhutan)/ Xiang Gao (CN)

for sustained capacity building in support of international climate change negotiations pour un renforcement durable des capacités en appui aux négociations internationales sur les changements climatiques



A. Overall Picture of Transparency MPGs

Overall picture of transparency MPGs




1) Mandate for negotiation:

- 91. Requests the APA to
 - develop recommendations for modalities, procedures and guidelines
 - define the year of their first and subsequent review and update, as appropriate, at regular intervals

for consideration by COP24, with a view to forwarding them to CMA1 for consideration and adoption.

2) What is enhanced transparency framework and provisions




Art. 3: The efforts of all Parties will represent a progression over time

92. facilitating improved reporting and transparency over time

2. Overall picture of transparency MPGs


- 3) How to avoid undue burden
- for Dg:
 flexibility → do according to capacity
 → general + specific provisions
- For submission and review: BTR and NC could be prepared and submitted in conjunction.

• for Dd: Relevant TER processes could be conducted in conjunction.




B. Discussion Questions

1:How flexibility provisions shall be designed and applied




Flexibility is needed so that each Party is able to implement, but not for encouraging backsliding.



2. How to build the Paris transparency MPGs on the existing MRV arrangements and experiences

- What is "build on" means?
- Why the existing MRV arrangements are bifurcated?
- Are those rationales still stand in the post-2020 era?
- Is that necessary to continue the bifurcated system in the Paris transparency MPGs?



3. Relationship between UNFCCC/KP reporting-review and PA reporting-review, including the starting year of PA reporting and the sunset clause in 1/CP.21.



Thanks