


Sharm el-Sheikh Mitigation Ambition and Implementation Work Programme Sub-title

Carlos Fuller (Belize) Franz Perrez (Switzerland)

for sustained capacity building in support of international climate change negotiations pour un renforcement durable des capacités en appui aux négociations internationales sur les changements climatiques



Agenda Presentation:



Objective



"The objective of the work programme for urgently scaling up mitigation ambition and implementation shall be to urgently scale up mitigation ambition and implementation in this critical decade in a manner that complements the global stocktake."

> [4/CMA.4: para1 referring to para 27 1/CMA.3]

Objective



"The objective of the work programme for urgently scaling up mitigation ambition and implementation shall be to urgently scale up mitigation ambition and implementation in this critical decade in a manner that complements the global stocktake."



[4/CMA.4: para1 referring to para 27 1/CMA.3]

Duration



- Start immediately after CMA 4
- Continue until CMA 8 (2026)
- Decision on the continuation at CMA 8

[4/CMA.4: para 5]

Structure of the MWP



- At least 2 **Global Dialogues** each year to be held ⁶ prior to SB sessions of June and of COP;
- Investment Focused Events at the margins of the dialogues;
- Other in-person or hybrid dialogues may be held in conjunction with existing events, such as the regional climate weeks;
- Submissions;
- Discussion in **SBI** [4/CMA.4: paras 8, 9, 11, 12]

=> Do we need more? Or less? Adjust Timing?

Scope

Based on broad thematic areas relevant to urgently scale up mitigation ambition; include

- all sectors covered in 2006 IPCC Guidelines;
- thematic areas of IPCC WG III;
- relevant enabling conditions, technologies, just transition and cross-cutting issues;

Specific reference to Art. 4.5 Paris and to finance.

[4/CMA.4: PP 9, OPs 4 and 11]

echi

=> Do we need a parallel financial track?



Relationship with NDCs

• MWP shall function in a manner that is consistent with the procedures and timelines for communication of successive NDCs.

=> Yearly MWP recommendations are in line with Art. 4.11 (adjustments of NDCs with a view to enhancing the level of its ambition)



Complementarity to GST

"to urgently scale up mitigation ambition and implementation in this critical decade **in a manner that complements the global stocktake**"

[4/CMA.4: para1]

=> Process: Should the same issues be discussed under GST and the MWP?

=> Should the same elements be decided under GST and the MWP?

=> Does duplication harm or can it complement?

Relationship to GST	
GST	MWP
Triple Renewable by 2030	Triple Renewable by 2030
	 Specific recommendations, actions, and best practices that support this objective, e.g.: Reinforce grid efficiency and energy storage; phase out of inefficient fossil fuel subsidies; decarbonization of energy sources; Build training programmes to build capacity;
Double Energy Efficiency 2030	Double Energy Efficiency by 2030
	 Specific measures that support this objective, e.g.: Demand side measures Encourage transfer of promising technologies
•••	•••

Outcome



"non-prescriptive, non punitive, facilitative, respectful of national sovereignty and national circumstances, taking into account the nationally determined nature of nationally determined contributions and will not impose new targets or goals." [para2 4/CMA.4]

=> And what about sectorial targets?!

Outcome



An aggregate/overall numeric target on renewables :

- is giving *orientation and guidance* and thus facilitative,
- it is non-prescriptive (*as overall*), non punitive, respectful of national sovereignty ...
- will not *impose* new targets or goals, as not legally binding.

Role SBs



- Implementation of MWP will start immediately after CMA 4
- to consider progress, including key findings, opportunities and barriers, in implementing the work programme with a view to recommend a draft decision.

=> Why bother with an SB58 agenda? We deliver in Dubai!

Agenda at the SBs



- => Reasons against an agenda item at SBs 58?
- => What should be the outcome under a possible agenda item at SB58
- => Is having a discussion at SB 58 but no formalized outcome worse than having no discussion?
- => How can substantial discussions advance between Bonn and Dubai in the absence of Draft Conclusions at SB58?

Questions

- => *Elements*: Do we need more meetings, or less, adjust dates?
- => <u>Scope</u>: Do we need a parallel financial track?
- => <u>Relationship with NDCs</u>: Are yearly MWP recommendations in line with the procedures and timelines for communicating successive NDCs?

=> Complementarity with GST:

- Process: Should the same issues be discussed under GST and the MWP?
- Should the same elements be decided under GST and the MWP?
- Does duplication harm or can it complement?
- => <u>Outcome</u>: What are the key recommendations that could be captured by the MWP?

