

## **Title: Getting closer to the NCQG**

for sustained capacity building in support of international climate change negotiations pour un renforcement durable des capacités en appui aux négociations internationales sur les changements climatiques



What would a NCQG Decision at COP 28 have to contain to facilitate the adoption of an NCQG in 2024?

In particular:

- Would it need to involve a change from the current working modalities, and if so, what sort of change?.
- Would it need some substantives elements?

## Some points introduced for reflexion



By Decision 9/CMA.3 negotiations on NCQG are to be based on:

- An ad-hoc work program.
- Submissions by Parties and non-Party stakeholders.
- High-level ministerial dialogues.
- Stocktakes and guidance by the CMA.

During negotiation of 9/CMA.3 at COP 26, the need for a Committee or similar body to negotiate the NCQG was discussed as an option but no agreement was reached.

Is the work under the modalities of 9/CMA.3 allowing us to make progress in time for the adoption of the NCQG in 2024?



- Under the TEDs we are discussing under a very broad framework, that revisit decades of debates around climate finance. The scope of the discussion at this point is well beyond the definition of a goal.
- The TEDs modalities does not produce an agreed outcome. At this point all issues under NCQG remains open.
- Coming from the TEDs and other sources, we already have an important amount of material to work on the text of a decision, what we need is to commission that decision proposal.

## Views from the Fellows on the way forward I



- Just one year ahead of the deadline for adoption of an NCQG, maybe we need to consider some changes in modalities on COP 28.
- We don't need to discard the TEDs, but maybe to add some working modalities in the form of some kind of negotiating body.
- Is the Contact Group for a NCQG Decision on COP 28 the initial place to start this work?

## Views from the Fellows on the way forward 2



- Decision at COP 28 need to include a clear roadmap, about how to reach an agreed outcome on NCQG at COP 28, including in the progression of negotiating texts, and provide clarity on the general structure of the goal and its main elements.
- Retake the idea of a negotiating Committee?
- In such a case, the TEDs may continue to inform the more structured negotiating process.
- Is some intersessional work required?
- Mandate to NCQG cofacilitators to prepare draft texts.?