

GST-Mitigation

Mandate

- Article 14
- Decision 19/CMA.1
 - Information collection
 - Technical assessment
 - Consideration of outputs
- GST to be done in a “comprehensive and facilitative manner... in light of equity and the best available science”
- Art. 14.3: “The outcome of the global stocktake shall inform Parties in updating and enhancing, **in a nationally determined manner**, their actions and support in accordance with the relevant provisions of this Agreement, as well as in enhancing international cooperation for climate action.”

A narrative for GST-Mitigation in COP28?

- The bad news: we are off track
- How did we get here? Identification of barriers, pre-2020 ambition.
- The call for collective action: need to do more
- Connections with finance (enabler of mitigation) and adaptation
- How to get there collectively, connection to NDCs
- The good news: Opportunities for action

Some ideas to consider

- IPCC reports from AR6: reference to mitigation pathways to stay under 1,5?
- Follow up on Glasgow Climate Pact of phase out fossil fuels and subsidies?
- Opportunities identified in IPCC, EIA, UNEP Gap Report, etc.?
- Sectoral opportunities? Technical annex?
- Reductions, yes, but also removals, reforestation, CDR/CCS?
- Strengthening mitigation in 2nd round of NDCs: Time to revisit 4.11?
- Workshops to exchange ideas on how to prepare new, more ambitious NDCs? Updating 2030 targets?
- Connection with Mol: National investment plans in NDCs?

Main ideas discussed by Fellows (1)

1. Context:

- a. Need to refer to the right to define our own developing pathways, poverty eradication, sustainable development and implementation of SDGs.
- b. No policy prescription.
- c. Guided by science, including IPCC AR6, etc.
- d. CBDR-RC-NC / equity / historical responsibilities.
- e. Pre2020 implementation gaps.
- f. Cross/reference to GGA, MWP

2. Steps ahead, process, what are the possibilities from here to the COP, what are the opportunities: contact group, informal consultations, inf-inf etc.

- a. How can we ensure that we have robust and concrete GST elements coming from the October workshop?

Main ideas discussed by Fellows (2)

3. Who writes documents: SB Chairs.
 - a. Options: First draft, non-paper, list of elements, reflections note.
 - b. Between submissions and the workshop.
 - c. On the basis of the draft structure from Bonn, as a useful start
4. Format: Political messages, CMA/Declaration, including context of a technical annex?
 - a. Non-negotiation outcomes? No sectoral target, but guidance that acknowledges that are different national circumstances. Built-in equity.
 - b. Annex non-negotiated?

Main ideas discussed by Fellows (3)

5. Substance: collective progress in mitigation, adaptation, finance. LD/RM
 - a. Balance between backward looking and forward looking.
 - b. Adaptation and finance are lagging behind, compared to mitigation: opportunities to close the gap. ^{1.}
 - c. Enhance international cooperation for finance, reform of international finance architecture
 - d. IPCC cycle, synch with GST, or at least to have products that can be used by the GST. Strengthening science – policy interface.
 - e. Global observation goal
 - f. Carbon markets that can provide more finance? Adaptation co-benefits.
 - g. Nature-based guidance: Enhancing sinks, forests, ocean conservation.
6. Follow – up
 - a. Develop a Track issues that can inform future GSTs, including lessons learned.
 - i. Informing NDC is not necessarily a follow up process, but a logical flow from the GST
 - b. Facilitative approach – general recommendations for broad implementation and to inform second round of enhanced NDCs